

Application No. 10/584,391  
Docket No. E4942-00016  
Response to Official Action of February 6, 2008

**Amendments to the Drawings:**

The attached sheets of drawings include changes to Figs 1 and 2. In Figs. 1 and 2, labels 11-14 have been amended. The Replacement sheets replace the original sheets containing Figs. 1 and 2. No new matter is introduced into the Application as a result of this change to Figs. 1 and 2.

Attachment: Replacement Sheets

### **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

As a result of this Amendment, claims 1-7 are under active consideration in the subject patent application.

In the Official Action, the Examiner has:

(1) objected to the abstract;  
(2) objected to the drawings;  
(3) rejected claims 1-5 under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 10-29 of U.S. Patent Application No. 10/584,112, and stated that a timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 1.321(c), may be used to overcome this rejection; and

(4) objected to claim 4 under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as allegedly being in improper form.

With regard to Item 1, Applicants have amended the Abstract of the Invention as requested by the Examiner. Applicants believe that the amended Abstract conforms with the rules of practice. Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of the Examiner's objection to the Abstract is requested.

With regard to Item 2, Applicants have prepared proposed formal drawing changes to correct the informalities identified by the Examiner. A set of those proposed drawing changes is enclosed with this Amendment. No new matter has been added to the application as a result of these changes to the drawings. Applicant submits that the proposed drawing changes correct all the informalities identified by the Examiner and place the drawings in condition for acceptance. Reconsideration is therefore requested.

With regard to Item 3, a Terminal Disclaimer To Obviate A Double Patenting

Application No. 10/584,391  
Docket No. E4942-00016  
Response to Official Action of February 6, 2008

Rejection Over A Pending Second Application is attached to this response and is believed to be in compliance with 37 C.F.R. §1.321(c). The Terminal Disclaimer has been signed by an Attorney of Record in the case. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge the fees, namely \$130.00, required in connection with the Terminal Disclaimer, to Deposit Account No. 04-1679. Accordingly, Applicants request entry of the Terminal Disclaimer and reconsideration and withdrawal of the double patenting rejection.

With regard to Item 4, claim 4 has been amended so as to place it in proper form for allowance by removing the multiple dependency reference. New claims 6 and 7 have been added to claim the subject matter removed from now amended claim 4. Amended claim 4 and new claims 6 and 7 are in condition for allowance.

In view of the foregoing, Applicant respectfully submit that claims 1-7 are in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration is therefore respectfully requested. Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

If a telephone conference would be of assistance in advancing prosecution of the above-identified application, Applicant's undersigned Attorney invites the Examiner to telephone him at 215-979-1255.

Respectfully Submitted,

Date: 05/20/2008

/Samuel W. Apicelli/  
Samuel W. Apicelli  
Registration No. 36,427  
Customer No. 08933  
DUANE MORRIS LLP  
30 S. 17<sup>th</sup> Street  
Philadelphia, PA 19103-4196  
Tel: 215-979-1255  
[swapicelli@duanemorris.com](mailto:swapicelli@duanemorris.com)